

Strategic Planning Training Group Adopter Subcommittee May 12, 2004

Meeting Date	May 12, 2004				
	10:45-11:30 am ET				
Attendees:	Chair: Edith Zang Ph.D. Institute for Cancer Prevention				
	Participants: David Fenstermacher PhD Abramson Cancer Center Edwin Quick MMI, OHSU Naveen Vinukanda MS, Institute for Cancer Prevention Brian Pittman MS, Institute for Cancer Prevention				
	Absent: Shannon McWeeney, OHSU				
	NCI: Marsha Reichman Ph.D. Lenora Johnson, Lynette Grouse, Leslie Derr				
	Booz Allen Working Group Coordinator: Cait Cusack				
Agenda	1. Discuss Mission				
	2. Discuss Immediate Tasks and Delivery Dates				
	3. Other Issues and Concerns				
	4. Confirmation of next meeting				
1. Discuss Mission	Edith proposed as our mission statement as:				
	"To design standards, protocols and templates for the development of adopter training materials, and to set common standards for the documentation and evaluation of caBIG tools, in order to ensure consistency in these procedures across adopters and other groups within the caBIG program"				
2. Discuss Immediate	We had lengthy discussions in trying to flesh out our immediate tasks				
Tasks and Delivery	1. Identify current training tools-				
Dates	 listserv, webcast, Centra and so forth. The overall Strategic Planning Group has done a fair amount of work in this area and we will check with them on where this stands 				
	o Look at current caBIG tools and what is available in that space				
	Develop SOPs for evaluation tools, training materials for adopters, protocols for adopting caBIG tools				
	Need to set up some guidelines so that adopter sites are				

Strategic Planning Training Group Adopter Subcommittee May 12, 2004

May 12, 2004							
	perfo projec	rming activities in th	e same way across s	sites and across			
	 Once these SOPs are developed will plan to share across all the groups via the liaisons to collaborate on their format and achieve standardization across the groups 						
	3. There was a long discussion around defining the adopters						
	 The group that is adopting new applications 						
	 How do they evaluate the tools 						
	• The g	roup that is adopting	g existing tools				
	0	_		ne tools-once the ey evaluate if all the			
	 The adopter users will be evaluating and testing tools; the end user is using the polished products 4. It is envisioned that the developers will partner up with the adopters, with the developers taking a lead in developing the training materials for the adopters 						
	5. It is envisioned that this all will work as a Pipe-line:						
	 The adopters get their tools, documentation and training materials from what the developers have done 						
	 The adopters have their own training materials developed as they adopt the tools These tools-are pushed out to the communications group for the greater community 						
	6. Our role is to develop the standards, protocols and templates for how these training materials are developed, setting the standards of documentation so that they are consistent across all groups						
3. Other Issues and Concerns	1. Question was raised over the need for a separate User subcommittee, as adopter groups will be working very close with the Users. "users are users are users"						
Action Items:							
	Name Responsible	Action Item	Date Due	Notes			



Strategic Planning Training Group Adopter Subcommittee May 12, 2004

NCI and Cait Cusack	Identify training materials available for current caBIG tools	5/26/04	
Adopter Subcommittee Group	Framework for Project Plan	6/29/04	
Adopter Subcommittee Group	Project Plan	9/1/04	
 Institute for Cancer Prevention	Circulate an outline for developing SOPs	5/19/04	
Adopter Subcommittee Group	Broad Framework for SOPs	6/29/04	